TANDEM COMPRESSION OF MEDULLA SPINALIS AND CAUDA EQUINA
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Abstract: Objective: To analyze and present cases of tandem compression of medulla spinalis and cauda equina.

Material and Methods: The subjects of observation were four patients with simultaneous compression of medulla spinalis and cauda equina, admitted to the Neurosurgery Clinic of the St George University Hospital, Plovdiv, Bulgaria during the period March 2012—March 2014. The average age of the patients was 60.5 years (47–72).

In one case, left-sided paramedian herniated discs were found at levels L1–2 and L4–5 combined with a concomitant stenosis, in another case — right-sided paramedian herniated discs on the level of Th12—L1 and a degenerative stenosis at level of L3–4, in the third case — pronounced degenerative compression at level Th7–8 and a central stenosis at level of L4–5, and in the last case — degenerative stenosis at level L3–4 and spinal meningoima at level Th3–4.

Results: The clinical signs of the simultaneous compression of the spinal cord and cauda equina have been examined. These signs may mislead the physician in the diagnosis of the spinal lesion, thus, resulting in inappropriate surgical strategy.

Conclusion: The involvement of the spinal cord must be clinically confirmed to rule out lesions in the thoracic region. When the lumbar imaging examinations are inconclusive or cannot explain the clinical symptoms of a certain patient, it is advisable to perform a magnetic resonance imaging of the entire spine.
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INTRODUCTION

The term ‘tandem spinal stenosis’ was first introduced by P. Teng and C. Papatheodorou in 1964 in an attempt to describe the simultaneous compression in the cervical and lumbar regions (1). Subsequently, several publications have been published in the specialized literature that discuss the simultaneous compression in the thoracic, thoraco-lumbar and lumbar regions (2, 3), resulting from degenerative stenosis (4), disc herniation (3), arachnoid cysts (5) or spinal tumors (6). In some cases, surgeons first operate on the lumbar lesion due to its apparent clinical and imaging manifestation. Nevertheless, neurological complications are sometimes possible resulting from the superiorly located lesion (3).

Objective

Analyzing and presenting cases of tandem compression of medulla spinalis and cauda equina.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We present 4 cases (3 male and 1 female) that were treated in the Clinic of Neurosurgery at St George University Hospital, Plovdiv, Bulgaria between March 2012 and March 2014. The mean age of the patients was 60.5 years (47–72). All cases have long-lasting medical history of back pain irradiating unilaterally or bilaterally to the legs which was subsequently overlapped by stiffness and weakness in the lower extremities (Table 1).

In one of our cases, the clinical presentation was dominated solely by lower back pain accompanied by radicular sensory and motor deficit. All other three cases presented with combined symptoms of central and peripheral system damage (Table 2).

CASE DESCRIPTIONS

All four cases are systematically presented on Tables 1, 2 and 3.
Table 1. Affected spinal segments and initial symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient/Gender/Age</th>
<th>Reported initial symptoms</th>
<th>Level of compromise of caudaequina</th>
<th>Level of compromise of medullaspinalis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Female, 72</td>
<td>Back pain, left leg pain and numbness</td>
<td>Stenosis L₄–L₅ (HIJ + HYL + DH)</td>
<td>Stenosis L₁–L₂ (HIJ + HVL + DH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Male, 58</td>
<td>Pain in the thoraco-lumbar region and leg stiffness</td>
<td>Stenosis L₁–L₄ (HIJ + HVL + DH)</td>
<td>Disc herniation at Th₁₂–L₁ level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Female, 65</td>
<td>Pain in the back and legs. Numbness across L₂ and S₁ dermatomes bilaterally</td>
<td>Stenosis L₂–L₅ (HIJ + HYL + DH)</td>
<td>Stenosis Th₁–Th₁₀ (HIJ + HYL + DH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Female, 47</td>
<td>Pain in the back and legs. Progressive weakness in the legs</td>
<td>Stenosis L₁–L₂ and L₄–L₅ (HIJ + DH)</td>
<td>Meningioma at Th₁₂–L₁ level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HIJ — hypertrophy of the intervertebral joint; HYL — hypertrophy of the yellow ligament; HVL — hypertrophy of the vertebral lamina; DH — disc herniation;

Table 2. Neurological status of the patients at hospital admission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient/Gender/Age</th>
<th>Neurological status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Female, 72</td>
<td>Lumbar vertebral syndrome: Positive Lassegue sign at 30° on the left. Pain and hypesthesia across L₂–S₁ dermatomes on the left. Loss of knee-jerk and ankle-jerk reflexes on the left. Fibular nerve plegia and tibial nerve paresis on the right side (Grade Con the Frankel Scale). Hypotrophy of the muscles of the left thigh and calf.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Female, 47</td>
<td>Lumbar vertebral syndrome: Pain and hypesthesia across L₂ and S₁ dermatomes on the left. Inferior spastic paraparesis, more severe on the left (Grade Con the Frankel Scale). Increased knee-jerk but diminished ankle-jerk reflexes. Positive Babinski sign bilaterally. Conductive hypesthesia distally from Th₁₂ dermatome.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Patients’ neurological outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient/Gender/Age</th>
<th>Neurological outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Female, 72</td>
<td>Substantial relief from the pain and vertebral syndromes. Mild reduction of the sensory deficit. Reduction of the right-sided fibular palsy and tibial paresis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Male, 58</td>
<td>Substantial relief from the pain and vertebral syndromes. No motor and sensory deficit of the lower extremities (Grade Don the Frankel Scale) Persistent hypesthesia across L₁ and S₁ dermatomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Female, 65</td>
<td>Postoperative recovery was satisfactory with alleviation of the vertebral and radicular syndromes together with reduction of the inferior paraplegia to Grade C according to Frankel Scale. Bowel and bladder disturbances were persistent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Female, 47</td>
<td>The neurological examination at 24th postoperative month revealed substantially improved neurological function to Grade D on the Frankel Scale; substantial relief of the pain syndrome; persistent hypesthesia across the left S₁ dermatome, absent left ankle-jerk reflex and mild difficulty in the plantar flexion of the toe resulting from the peripheral damage due to the lumbar pathology. The patient is able to perform her previous duties. The postoperative MRI of the thoraco-lumbar spine showed no tumor recurrence and adequate decompression of the lumbar spinal stenosis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case 3: Sixty-five year old female who suffered from long-lasting back pain that, occasionally, irradiated to the gluteal region and the antero-lateral surface of both thighs accompanied by numbness. One day prior to hospitalization, upon physical exertion she felt acute intensive pain in the thoraco-lumbar region, followed by numbness, stiffness and weakness of the legs that got completely paralyzed within hours. The lumbo-dorsal CT-assisted myelography demonstrated degenerative compression at Th7–8 level with laminar, facet and yellow ligament hypertrophy accompanied by severe central degenerative stenosis at L4–5 causing complete lower stop of the contrast agent (Figure 1 and 2).

One-stage surgical decompression was performed at Th7–8 followed by L4–5 level. Degenerative stenosis with laminar, facet and yellow ligament hypertrophy was found at both levels.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

According to the published data, the variety of clinical symptoms typical of the tandem compression of the spinal cord and cauda equina can lead to incomplete diagnosis (2, 3, 7). In these cases, the detection of the thoracic compression can be omitted due to different factors:

1. The hyporeflexia and leg spasticity caused by the myelopathy can be overlapped by the symptoms resulting from the compression of the cauda equina and the nerve roots (3, 7). All patients in our series demonstrate excitatory and depressed sensory radicular symptoms. Two of the patients showed motor deficits and absent tendon reflexes of the lower extremities, and three of them presented with more or less obvious signs of myelopathy.

2. Patients with compression of the epicous and conus medullaris (from Th10 to L2) resemble the clinical presentation of lumbar radiculopathy (8, 9). Toribatake et al. published a series of 15 patients with similar lesion localizations. All patients presented with unilateral or bilateral muscle atrophy and sensory deficit, in 87% — absence of tendon reflexes, in 67% — bowel and bladder disturbances and in only 20% — pathological reflexes (9). The compression at L2–L5 level typically presents with pain in the gluteal region and the antero-lateral surface of the thighs as well as positive femoral nerve stretch test, especially, when LasPgue sign is negative (9). Similar clinical presentation was observed in our patient N°1.

3. Primary degenerative stenosis of the thoracic spine is relatively rare. Older patients often harbor asymptomatic cervical and/or thoracic degenerative stenosis that are not amenable to surgical intervention (1, 9). This is why physicians are focused on the clinically manifested lumbar pathology and, occasionally, omit the more superior compression (10).

All cases with clinically manifested lumbar spinal stenosis must undergo thorough neurological examination. If any minor myelopathic signs are present, it is mandatory to perform MRI of the entire spine to rule out compression of the spinal cord that can eventually compromise the treatment strategy and result in poor outcomes.

The timing and the surgical strategy in cases with tandem compression of the spinal cord and cauda equina that lead to neurological deficits in the lower extremities is a matter of debate. Some authors advocate initial surgery of the spinal cord compression, especially in urgent cases that are not suitable for one-staged procedure. In our series, we performed one-staged procedures in all cases with tandem thoracic and lumbar degenerative compression. We first addressed the thoracic lesion. We operated on the patient with combined thoracic meningioma and degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis at two separate stages as we first removed the thoracic compression.

Abbreviations:

HIJ — hypertrophy of the intervertebral joint;
HYL — hypertrophy of the yellow ligament;
HVL — hypertrophy of the vertebral lamina;
DH — disc herniation
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Cilj: Analiza i prikaz slučajeva tandem kompresije kičmene moždine i kaude ekvine.


U prvom slučaju levostrana paramedijalna diskus hernija, pronađena je na nivou L1-2 i L4-5 u kombinaciji sa pratećom stenozom, u drugom slučaju, desnostrana paramedijalna diskus hernija na nivou L1-2 kao i degenerativna stenoza na nivou od L1-4. U trećem slučaju, nađena je naglašena degenerativna kompresija na nivou Th3-8 i centralna stenoza na nivou L4-5, a u poslednjem slučaju degenerativna stenoza na nivou L5-5 i kičmeni meningeom na nivou Th9-10.

Rezultati: Klinički znaci istovremene kompresije kičmene moždine i kaude ekvine su bili ispitani. Ovi znaci mogu obmanuti lekar u dijagnostici kičmenih ležija i tako rezultirati neodgovarajućim hirurškim strategijama.

Zaključak: Uključivanje kičmene moždine mora biti klinički potvrđeno da bi isključilo ležije u grudnom regionu. Kada su lumbalni pregledi nepotpuni ili ne uspevaju da objasne kliničke simptome određenog pacijenta, savetuje se magnetna rezonanca cele kičme.

Ključne reči: tandem kompresija, spinalni tumor, torakalna stenoza, lumbalna stenoza.
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