COMPARISON OF CARDIAC MAGNETIC RESONANCE AND CARDIAC ULTRASOUND IMAGING FINDINGS IN CONGENITAL AND ACQUIRED HEART DISEASES

Serinsoz Serdar,¹ Akturk Remzi,² Bayramoglu Sibel³

¹ Istanbul Safa Private Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey
² Istanbul Gelisim University, Istanbul Turkey
³ Istanbul Sultan Abdulhamid Han Training and Research Hospital

Abstract: Objective: Nowadays, non-invasive methods are emerging in the evaluation of cardiovascular diseases. The aim of this study was to determine the diagnostic performance of cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging and cardiac ultrasound imaging (Echo) in cardiac patients diagnosed with Echo previously.

Methods: In total of 32 various cardiac patients with Echo findings including congenital and acquired heart pathologies who applied to our clinic were included in the study. The distribution of cardiac pathologies including valve dysfunctions, right and left ventricular wall lesions and movement disorders, atrioventricular hypertrophy, septal defect, pericardial effusion-mass, additional congenital or acquired vascular pathologies and additional thoracic pathology, age and gender correlations were determined. The CMR and Echo findings were compared statistically.

Results: It was found that 12 (37.5%) of the individuals were female, 20 (62.5%) were male, aged between 6 and 80 years with an average age of 29.9 years. The cardiac parameters were positive in 27 (84.4%) patients according to Echo and were positive in 22 (68.8%) patients according to CMR. There was no statistically significant correlation between CMR and Echo for cardiac pathology detection rates (p > 0.05). However, only 18 out of 22 patients who were positive on CMR were positive on Echo. CMR was superior in detecting congenital cardiac pathologies.

Conclusion: Echo has a diagnostic advantage and in general, CMR and Echo findings overlap in cases with valve dysfunctions, ventricular wall motion disorders, and hypertrophy, whereas we found that Echo findings were insufficient for diagnosis of congenital heart pathologies.
CMR was used non-invasively to evaluate coronary artery disease, to evaluate cardiac ischemia and determine its prevalence, measure ventricular function, contractile reserve, and image luminal narrowing of the arteries (6, 7, 8). It also has an important place in congenital heart diseases. Besides the anatomical imaging of the heart’s compartments, it can also show atrioventricular morphological evaluation and structural features can be demonstrated. In summary, CMR imaging is useful to distinguish normal and pathological myocardial tissue. The reason for preference is that it does not involve ionizing radiation and is less costly.

The aim of this study is to identify supporting or opposing findings of cases with cardiac pathology proven by Echo and compare with a non-invasive method, CMR which provides additional findings about neighboring anatomic structures, in light of magnetic resonance technology.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

A total of 32 various cardiac patients with Echo findings including congenital and acquired heart pathologies who applied to our clinic were included in the study. Among the patient groups, cardiac pathologies, valve dysfunctions (aortic, pulmonary, mitral and tricuspid valves), both right and left ventricular wall lesions (noncompaction, trabeculation, UHL/ARVD anomalies) and movement disorders (hypokinesia, movement, acquired), atrioventricular hypertrophy, septal defect, pericardial effusion-mass, additional congenital or acquired vascular pathologies (acquired such as congenital aortic and pulmonary arterial embolisms, strictures and aneurysmal dilatations such as aortic coarctation, dextra malposition, giant eustachian valve) distributions, findings, age and gender correlations with thoracic pathology were included.

The CMR test was performed using a phase-sequential coil in a 1.5 T MR device (Siemens, Magnetom Avanto Syngo, Erlangen, Germany). Cine gradient echo T2A long axis, TSE T1A and fat-suppressed T2A short and long axis sequences (2 and 4 chamber images), identification of standard cardiac morphology (wall lesions especially ARVD, wall movements, valve lesions, large vessel lesions and congenital anomalies), mediastinal and thoracic pathologies were implemented. In spin-echo sequence routine cardiac examination, TR appropriate to R-R' interval in ECG, TE: 30 msn, section thickness=8 mm, Matrix: 224 x 224, FOV = 300 X 400 mm; parameters for cine-cardiac MR examination TR/TE, 3.4/1.5, slip angle (FA) = 45°; sectional thickness = 8 mm, matrix = 224 x 224, FOV = 35 cm were applied. Patients with myocarditis and cardiomyopathies and myocardial involvement had imaging taken 10-20 minutes after intravenous (IV) extracellular contrast agent injection (0.1-0.2 mmol/kg) with late contrast IR images in multiplanar sections. Late contrast imaging used TR/TE, 4.8/1.3, flip angle (FA) 20°, receiver band width ± 31.25 kHz, FOV = 35 cm, cross section thickness 8 mm, cross sectional area 2 mm and matrix 256 x 160 parameters. Echocardiographic images were obtained in the standard parasternal long and short axis and apical 4 chamber and 2 chamber views utilizing digital Vivid 7 ultrasound equipment with a combined tissue imaging 2.5 – 4.0 MHz transducer (GE, Milwaukee, WI). At least 3 cardiac cycles were captured at the left ventricular (LV) base, mid papillary muscle level, and apex for wall motion assessment. No intravenous echocardiographic contrast agent was used.

**Statistical analysis**

Chi-square and Fisher’s Exact Chi-Square tests were used to compare descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, frequency), as well as qualitative data when evaluating study data. McNemar test and diagnostic screening tests were used for CMR and Echo evaluations of cardiac pathologies; significance was evaluated as p < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

The ages of the 32 patients included in the study ranged from 6 to 80 years with a mean age of 29.93 ± 19.80, with 12 (37.5%) women and 20 (62.5%) men.

From cardiac parameters, Echo was positive in 27 (84.4%) cases and CMR was positive in 22 (68.8%) cases (Tables 1 and 2). Congenital cardiac pathologies were detected in six cases. In 15 (46.9%) of the cases, valve dysfunction was present. On the right, 4 (12.5%) of the cases had abnormal ventricular wall motion or structural abnormality. On the left, 9 (28.1%) of the cases with abnormal ventricular wall motion or structural abnormality diagnosed by CMR. Fourteen of the cases had atrial-ventricular hypertrophy (43.8%), and 5 (15.6%) of the cases had additional vascular abnormality and nonspecific wall tumors.

There was no statistically significant correlation between cardiac pathology rates on CMR and Echo (p > 0.05) (Table 1). With the Echo method, 84.4% of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiac pathology</th>
<th>Positive n (%)</th>
<th>Negative n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Echo</td>
<td>27 (84.4)</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMR</td>
<td>22 (68.8)</td>
<td>10 (31.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cases received positive diagnosis and with cardiac MR 68.8% of the cases received positive diagnosis. Of the 22 patients positively diagnosed with CMR, only 18 had the same positive diagnosis in Echo; whereas the other cases were negative in Echo. The sensitivity of CMR was 81.8% and specificity was determined as 10%. The positive predictive value was 66.67% and the negative predictive value was 20%. Of the 27 patients who had pathology detected on Echo, only 18 had pathological diagnosis on CMR. Two cases of ventricular septal defect (VSD), one case of left ventricular hypertrophy and one case of tricuspid insufficiency, a total of four, were positive on CMR unlike Echo findings which were all negative (Figure 1). In contrast with the two imaging methods, it was found that Echo findings were inadequate in cases of congenital heart disease, while CMR and Echo findings overlapped in cases with valve dysfunctions, ventricular wall motion disorders and hypertrophy in general.

There was no statistically significant difference between cardiac pathologies according to gender with Echo findings and CMR findings (p > 0.05). There was no statistically significant difference between the findings of valve dysfunction according to age (p > 0.05). For similar structural features of ventricular wall motion on the right and left according to gender, atrial ventricular hypertrophy, additional vascular abnormalities and non-specific wall tumors, septal defect and additional thoracic pathology findings were not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

When both imaging methods were evaluated in terms of age in cardiac pathologies, there was no statistically significant difference between the Echo and CMR findings with age (p > 0.05) (Table 3). Similarly, there was no statistically significant difference between the findings of valve dysfunction according to age distribution (p > 0.05) (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Cardiac MRI is now an important diagnostic imaging method for detecting congenital and acquired cardiac pathologies. CMR provides more findings in-car-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Relationship between CMR and Echo in cardiac pathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac pathology CMR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positive</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart Echo</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*McNemar test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Evaluation of cardiac pathologies by age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (81.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Chi square test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Evaluation of valve dysfunctions by age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 15 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (27.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Chi square test (TI: Tricuspid Insufficiency, AI: Aortic Insufficiency, MY: Mitral Insufficiency, AS: Aortic Stenosis)
diagnostic diseases compared to other methods like echo, scintigraphy or angiography in just a single examination. For evaluation of congenital heart diseases, it ensures important benefits in providing cardiac anomaly imaging and additional information.

MRI shows the anatomical health of the compartment of the heart and evaluates the atrium and ventricle morphologically. In our study, 14 cases of atrial and/or ventricular hypertrophy were present. In addition to showing hypertrophy on MRI, due to real-time and high-resolution imaging of the affected valve functions in cine imaging, progress is being made to obtain important information that will lead to diagnosis and treatment.

Subendocardial perfusion defects, as is well known in acute myocardial ischemia, are the first findings followed by transmural perfusion defects and wall motion disorders. Electrocardiographic changes and angina are relatively late developing findings (9). Cardiac MRI has an important benefit in detecting infarcts. Especially, choosing late contrast sequences provides great benefits on this subject. In studies performed by De Roos et al. late contrast enhancement in the infarct area was confirmed before and after administration of i.v. 0.1 mmol/kg Gd DTPA2 to 17 days after myocardial infarction. Images are acquired 30 minutes after injection to reveal late involvement (10).

One of the most common clinical methods for detecting perfusion abnormalities is myocardial perfusion single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT). An important advantage of this method is its validity with a large number of multicenter studies. However, it has the disadvantage of having low temporal and spatial resolution, attenuation artifacts and ionizing radiation. Concomitant contrast-enhanced MR angiography provides better diagnostic imaging of myocardial ischemia (11, 12).

Another limited imaging method for myocardial blood flow is positron emission tomography (PET). It has some disadvantages like low resolution of subendocardial tissue, difficult to access due to cost and involving ionizing radiation. In addition, the analysis of images is quite time-consuming and complicated. However, evaluation of subendocardial perfusion is much better with cardiac MRI with high temporal and spatial resolution (13). MRI can also be used to visualize complications such as aneurysm formation due to ischemic heart diseases, valvular regurgitation and pericardial effusion. There is a high risk of thrombus formation in these areas, especially due to slowing blood flow in transmural infarcts and aneurysmal areas developing after infarction. Detection of thrombi between the left ventricular apex and endocardial trabeculations may not be easy with transthoracic echocardiography. Thrombi can be easily visualized and distinguished from slow blood flow with contrast and cine MR images (14). In one case we could not distinguish thrombus or mass with Echo, then we performed cardiac MRI to image the location, and displacement during the cycle and non-enhancing internal structure and easily demonstrated the thrombi. Unlikemass-thrombus diagnosed with Echo, a giant eustachian valve which is hard to distinguish was diagnosed with CMR in one case. In total, six cases had congenital cardiac pathology; in three of them we detected the diagnostic addition and in the other three, one with Uhl abnormality and two with septal defects, we detected the diagnostic superiority of MRI compared to Echo.

Conventional coronary angiography is still considered the gold standard imaging method for the coronary arteries. However, CMR angiography is preferred to conventional coronary angiography because of the risk of radiation exposure during operation, being an invasive procedure and complications (15).

In hypertrophic cardiomyopathy cases, the main diagnosis is made by echocardiography. However, CMR provides higher spatial resolution, can easily detect the localization and the damage of the myocardial abnormality (16).

The limits of our study are the inclusion of a certain number of patients with a wide heart disease spectrum. We think that more specific findings and specific pathologies may be obtained if performed with more study groups.

The CMR technique has the capability of presenting a wide range of information in a single study in contrast with echocardiography, scintigraphy and angiography, which are commonly used in the assessment of cardiac pathologies.

CONCLUSION

Echo has diagnostic advantage and in general, CMR and Echo findings overlap in cases with valve dysfunctions, ventricular wall motion disorders, and hypertrophy, whereas we found that Echo findings were insufficient for diagnosis of congenital heart pathologies. We believe that in the near future we will eliminate many of the methods used for cardiac pathologies with technological developments that resolve the limitations of the procedure.
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Sažetak

UPOREĐivanje nalaza magnetne rezonance i ultrazvuka srca kod kongenitalnih i stećenih srčanih bolesti
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Uvod: U današnje vreme, za evaluaciju kardiovaskularnih oboljenja, prednost se daje neinvazivnim metodama. Cilj ove studije bio je da se ispita dijagnostički učinak magnetne rezonance srca i ultrazvuka srca kod pacijenata koji boluju od srčanih bolesti, a kod kojih je prethodno utvrđena dijagnoza pomoću ultrazvuka srca.

Metod: Ukupno 32 pacijenta sa različitim bolestima srca, koje su potvrđene ultrazvučnim nalazima, a koja su uključivala kongenitalnu i stečenu srčanu patologiju, bila su uključena u ovu studiju. Distribucija kardioloških oboljenja uključivala je valvularnu disfunkciju, ležije zidova i poremećaje pokreta leve i desne komore, atrioventrikularnu hipertrofiju, septalni defekt, perikardijalnu efuziju, dodatna kongenitalna i stečena vaskularna oboljenja, kao i dodatnu torakalnu patologiju, a ispitivani su i uzrast i pol. Nalazi magnetne rezonance srca i ultrazvuci nalazi srca, su upoređivani statistički.

Rezultati: Utvrđeno je da 12 (37.5%) ispitanika je bilo ženskog, a 20 (62.5%) muškog pola, starosti od 6 do 80 godina, sa srednjom vrednosti od 29,9 godina. Kardialni parametri bili su pozitivni kod 27 pacijenata (84.4%) prema nalazima ultrazvuka srca i pozitivni kod 22 pacijenta (68.8%), prema nalazima magnetne rezonance. Nije utvrđena statistička značajnost između MR i ultrazvučnog nalaza srčane patologije (p > 0.05). Međutim, samo 18 od 22 pacijenta koji su bili pozitivni na MR, su imali pozitivan nalaz na ultrazvučnom pregledu. Magnetna rezonanca srca se pokazala kao superiorija u dijagnosticii kongenitalnih srčanih patologija.


Ključne reči: magnetna rezonanca srca, ehokardiografija, srčane bolesti, upoređivanje.
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